

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,743	09/06/2000	Alice H. Howe	MPH 99-46	9068
. 7:	590 05/03/2002			
M Paul Hendrickson			EXAMINER	
403 Main Street P O Box 508			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
Holmen, WI 5	34636-0508		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
		DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4 Mars 4 - 1	LP			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/655,743	HOWE, ALICE H.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raleigh Chiu	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE To Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDI- avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. blication. A proper reply to a proper proper in the places the application in			
-	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of code staticous period for reply originally set	1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	nt's Brief must be filed within th FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss	e period set forth in al of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
□ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		_			
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State					
10. Other:	/	Jalugur			

Raleigh Chiu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3711 Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/655,743

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: while applicant's arguments and allegations have been duly considered, the examiner remains of the opinion that the claimed invention is obvious for the reasons appropriately, clearly, and properly stated in the First Office action and Final rejection. Further, while a prima facie case of obviousness has been correctly established in the instant application, consistent with the guidelines set forth in MPEP § 2100, applicant's arguments relative thereto do not appear to be directed to the aspects on which reliance is made.